

ANUP SYAL VS STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. Ankur Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

The petitioner had filed a suit for possession by way of specific performance and the same was decreed ex parte vide judgment and decree dated 23.3.2017. During the course of execution, the warrant of possession was issued and the possession has been stated to be delivered on 09.09.2019. The allegation of the petitioner is to the effect that on 5.11.2019 respondents No. 6 to 8 broke open the locks of the house and took away the articles. A representation dated 13.11.2019 has already been submitted to the Commissioner of Police (Rural), Jalandhar. However, it has further been submitted that in fact the representation has been submitted to respondent No.2, who is Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar and the same has been marked to Respondent No.3.

Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

13.01.2020

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE