

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.866 of 2020
Date of Decision: 15.01.2020

Sonam Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present: Mr. Aditya Bhushan, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJIV SHARMA,J

Prayer in the instant writ petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution is for issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing respondent No.1-State Bank of India not to take possession of the property qua the share of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner filed S.A before the Debt Recovery Tribunal-II, Chandigarh though the matter could not be taken up since the Presiding Officer was on leave and that respondent No.1-Bank is adamant to dispossess the petitioner from the suit property.

After having examined the contents of the petition and taking into account the facts & circumstances of the matter, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Debt Recovery Tribunal-II, Chandigarh to decide the *lis* within a period of four weeks from today.

Till then, no coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner.

(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

January 15, 2020

manoj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No