

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.271 of 2019  
Date of decision:29.01.2020

Rajbir ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate for respondent No.3.

\*\*\*

**B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 03.03.2004 and to release the consequential benefits along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of identical relief prayed for in connected CWP No.5268 of 2017 and 894 of 2018, having been granted by the respondents, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner in the light of decision of this Court dated 04.05.2016, in CWP No.9080 of 2010, in a time bound manner

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that he has no objection to the aforementioned prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner for regularization w.e.f. 03.03.2004, in the light of decision of this Court dated 04.05.2016, in CWP No.9080 of 2010, within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. WALIA)  
JUDGE

29.01.2020

rajesh.k.khurana

- |                              |   |         |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No. |