

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-108-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.01.2020

M/s Sehaj Ram Kishan Dass and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Veena Kumari ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. M.K. Singla, Advocate and
Ms. Sonika, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

An application filed by the petitioners-defendants for permission to amend the written statement has been declined by the Court by observing as under:-

“If the defendant has any prove regarding payment of Rs.1,00,000/- to the plaintiff, he could prove the same by leading cogent evidence as the case is still fixed for evidence of the defendant.”

Since, the defendants-petitioners have already been permitted to lead evidence, there is no occasion to interfere.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed.

17.01.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No