

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-No.823 of 2020  
Date of Decision:27.02.2020**

Pargat Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr.Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Mr.Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, Asstt. A.G. Punjab.  
Mr.Vishal Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2 & 3.

\*\*\*

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.213 dated 29.11.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 326, 354-A, 406, 420, 499, 500, 120-B IPC and Section 3&4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station Rupnagar, District Rupnagar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise.

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-on the allegations that the accused-petitioners demanded dowry, broke the engagement and outraged modesty of her daughter Baljit Kaur. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rupnagar, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from

anyone and the same is the genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the admits to the factum of compromise and submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.213 dated 29.11.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 326, 354-A, 406, 420, 499, 500, 120-B IPC and Section 3&4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Police Station Rupnagar, District Rupnagar and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioner herein.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)  
JUDGE

27.02.2020

raman

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |