

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.511 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision.12.06.2020
(Heard through VC)

Ranjit Kaur		...Petitioner
	Vs	
State of Punjab		...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Jagtar Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

-. -

JAISHREE THAKUR J. (ORAL)

CRM No.13105 of 2020

This is an application that has been filed for preponment of the
Crl. Misc. Petition No.511 of 2020, which is fixed for 17.07.2020 wherein the
relief of regular bail in FIR No.93 dated 21.10.2019 under Sections 306/34 IPC
registered at Police Station Kheri Gandian, District Patiala has been sought.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed
and the main petition is taken up for hearing today itself.

CRM-M No.511 of 2020

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for
grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.93 dated 21.10.2019
under Sections 306/34 IPC registered at Police Station Kheri Gandian, District
Patiala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that the
petitioner has been wrongly implicated in the said FIR under Sections 306/34
IPC on the ground that she and her husband was responsible for the suicide
committed by Karnail Kaur i.e. mother-in-law of the petitioner. He would
further contend that investigation is complete and no useful purpose would be

served in keeping the petitioner in custody. Moreover, she has the responsibility of looking after three minor children as there is no other family member available to do so.

3. Appearance has been caused by Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab through the medium of video conferencing, who opposes the bail application, while contending that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature and therefore, she is not entitled to concession of regular bail, however, on telephonic instructions from Inspector Satnam Singh, submits that the matter has been investigated and challan has already been presented.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and find that there are adequate reasons made out to allow regular bail to the petitioner herein, who is in custody since 21.10.2019. The matter has been investigated and the challan has already been presented, moreover, one of the main consideration for allowing the regular bail is that three minor children, who are not residing with the petitioner in jail need to be looked after during the COVID-19 pandemic. Since the trial is likely to take some time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed as an expression on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

June 12, 2020
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No