

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-583-2020

Date of Decision:26.02.2020

RAMAN KUMAR @ LADDU AND OTHERS Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr.Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, Asstt. A.G. Punjab.
Mr.Rajan Bhargava, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.86 dated 01.07.2015 registered under Sections 363, 366, 366-A 120-B, IPC of Indian Penal Code Registered at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise .

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant- on the allegations that the accused-petitioners misguided her daughter and induced her into performing marriage. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Chief Judicial Magistrate at Pathankot, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from

anyone and the same is the genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer admits to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.86 dated 01.07.2015 registered under Sections 363, 366, 366-A 120-B, IPC of Indian Penal Code Registered at Police Station Sujampur, District Pathankot and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

26.02.2020

raman

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No