

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2020.01.15 15:23
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-476-2020

Date of Decision: 14.01.2020

Inderjit Singh

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab,

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

In the instant case, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.98 dated 05.10.2019 under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Budhlada, District Mansa.

Briefly the allegations are that four person were running a company in the name of Gill Trading Company Helpline and they used to give household goods/articles on weekly installments to the persons in seven groups, each group was comprising 46 members and receiving installments since the year 2016. In the beginning, the household goods/articles were being given but later on they stopped visiting the village and even not taking telephonic calls.

It has been stated that the arrest of the petitioner was effected on 28.11.2019. His custodial interrogation is over and has been remanded to judicial custody.

The relief sought by the petitioner has been mainly opposed on the ground that accused-Gurdeep Singh has been declined the concession of pre-arrest bail. In this regard, it may be mentioned here that, the parameters

for granting regular and pre-arrest bail are distinct and different. The pre-arrest bail is to be granted if an extraordinary and exceptional circumstances are made.

Further, the allegations are with regard to the receipt of amount to the tune of Rs.5 lacs from various persons. The criminal liability of the petitioner is to be looked into during the course of trial. His custodial interrogation is over and has been remanded to judicial custody. The offence are triable by judicial magistrate 1st Class. The conclusion of investigation and trial is likely to take some time.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars in the present case. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

14.01.2020
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No