

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CR No.94 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.01.2020.

Kawaljit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Raman Kumar

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Ms. Sukhmani Boparai, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-landlord is seeking a direction to the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal filed by the respondent-tenant expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is 56 years old lady, is suffering from cancer. The petition for ejectment on account of *bonafide* necessity had been allowed by the Rent Controller and the respondent had thereafter preferred an appeal before the Appellate authority whereby the order of the Rent Controller has been stayed. She also contends that the appeal has been repeatedly adjourned on the request of the respondent. She has also referred to the medical record of the petitioner indicating that she is suffering from thyroid cancer.

A perusal of the zimini orders indicates that the appeal has been adjourned mostly on the request of counsel representing the appellant (respondent herein).

In view of the limited nature of the prayer made in this petition, I intend to dispose of the petition, without issuing notice to the respondent. Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate authority to decide the appeal filed by the respondent-tenant within a period of four months.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 10, 2020

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No