

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CRM-M-402-2020

Date of Decision:14.01.2020

Satinder Kumar Singh
alias Kamal Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. Rishu Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Jain, APP, for U.T., Chandigarh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0003 dated 03.01.2019 under Sections 304-B, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Mauli Jagran Chandigarh, District Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the similar set of allegations, co-accused Bachni Devi, who is none else but wife of the present petitioner, has been admitted on bail by this Court vide order dated 06.12.2019 passed in **CRM-M-42011-2019 (Bachni Devi Versus State of U.T., Chandigarh)**, petitioner deserves to be admitted on bail.

Learned State Counsel has filed the custody certificate. As per custody certificate, he is in custody for about 01 year and 10 days and he does not dispute the fact that co-accused of the petitioner has been admitted on bail by this Court.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased and co-accused Bachni Devi, who is mother-in-law of the deceased, has already been admitted on bail by this Court vide order dated 06.12.2019. Petitioner is in custody since 03.01.2019. Trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future as only 01 witness has been examined and the case is now fixed for 06.03.2020 for remaining evidence. Thus, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

January 14, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No