

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2020.01.10 16:35
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRWP-220-2020

Date of Decision: 10.01.2020

Komal Sharma and Anr

... Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Nikhil Batta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated that both the petitioners have attained the age for a valid marriage. The marriage of the petitioners has been solemnized on 05.01.2020. Copy of marriage certificate dated 05.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) and photographs (Annexure P-4) are on record. The petitioners are stated to be residing in District Patiala. Apprehending danger to their lives, at the instance of private respondents, who are the relatives of the petitioners, the present petition has been instituted. The allegations are also substantiated with the affidavits of both the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to look into the matter and if he finds that apprehension of danger to the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners is real, he shall take appropriate steps to ensure their protection as he feels necessary, in such circumstances of the case.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their marriage or any civil or criminal proceedings.

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

10.01.2020

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No