

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.204

CRM-M-500-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.6.2020

Manish

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Panwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.222 dated 4.4.2017, registered at Police Station Hodal, District Palwal, Haryana, under Sections 307, 506, 120-B IPC and Sections 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 24.4.2019. This is a case of no injury and weapon allegedly used in the crime was recovered from a co-accused. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as examination of PWs has not yet commenced and thus, the petitioner may be granted regular bail.

The prayer is opposed by learned State counsel on the ground that the petitioner had jumped bail earlier. He has been in custody since 24.4.2019 after he was re-arrested.

However, the factual submission of learned counsel for the petitioner regarding the status of the trial has not been controverted.

It is, thus, evident that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date. The petitioner has spent almost two years in actual custody and thus, I deem it appropriate to grant him regular bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his

furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty
Magistrate, concerned.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

11.6.2020
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No