

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

**CRM-M-345-2020(O&M)
Decided on: 17.02.2020**

Paramvir Singh @ Pamma

.. Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr.Vikas Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.112 dated 27.08.2019, under Sections 323, 324, 341, 506 148, 149 IPC and later on added Section 307 IPC, registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in the present case, the petitioner is in custody since 01.11.2019. The investigation of the case is already complete. The trial may take some time. He has further submitted that even otherwise also, compromise has been effected between the complainant and the petitioner but the same could not be completed as the petitioner is behind bars.

Learned State counsel states that it is an offence under Section 307 IPC which is an offence against the society and in view of the gravity of offence, he has opposed to grant of bail. He has further submitted that two other cases are pending against the petitioner, one is under Section 323 IPC and the other is under the Arms Act.

In the present case, the petitioner is in custody from November 2019 and the investigation of the case has already been completed. He has further contended that the complainant in this case is Talvir Singh and vide Annexure P-2, he has already compromised the matter and has stated that the matter has been amicably resolved but since the petitioner is behind bars, cannot review the compromise in the form of impropriety.

Without commenting on the permissibility to compromise the matter under Section 307 IPC, at this stage, I deem it appropriate to grant the benefit of bail to the petitioner in view of the fact that the investigation has already been completed. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner shall be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bond/surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

17.02.2020
anju

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No