

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

241

CRWP-102-2020

Date of decision: 24.01.2020

Teyyab

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 4.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for the release of detenues detailed in para No.4 of the petition, who are illegally, unlawfully and forcibly detained by respondents No.5 and 6 by appointment of a warrant officer.

While issuing notice of motion vide order dated 06.01.2020, respondent No.2–District Magistrate, Yamunanagar was directed to treat the petition as a complaint under the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 and take immediate action in accordance with law within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of the order along with a copy of the writ petition and to submit a report in this regard.

In compliance with the above said order, status report dated 22.01.2020 has been filed by Sh. Mukul Kumar, IAS, District Magistrate, Yamuna Nagar on behalf of respondent No.2 in which he

has mentioned that pursuant to the order of this Court he had constituted a committee comprising Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar-4, District Food Supply Officer, Yamuna Nagar, Labour Inspector, Circle-3, Office of Assistant Labour Commissioner, Circle-1, Yamuna Nagar and Attar Singh, Incharge, Parvasi Labour Union, Yamuna Nagar for verifying the facts. The committee visited the site i.e. M/s J.K. Bricks, Thana Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar on 11.01.2020 and submitted report dated 11.01.2020. In his status report, respondent No.2-District Magistrate, Yamunanagar has concluded that in view of the report submitted by the Committee, the statements made by the alleged detenues, the statement made by the owner of J.K. Brick Kiln, statement made by other labourers and statement made by Biram Pal Jamadar and recorded by the members of the committee, it emerges that there was no case of bonded labour or illegal detention as alleged by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not disputed the correctness of factual averments made in the status report.

In view of the above referred status report, no further action is required to be taken on the present habeas corpus petition which is disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

24.01.2020
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No