

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.504 of 2020

Date of Decision: 26.02.2020.

Dinesh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. S.P. Yadav, Advocate for respondent Nos.42, 49, 61 and 66.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Certiorari for quashing impugned (third time) revised seniority list of ALMs for the period 01.04.2011 to 31.03.2016, as circulated vide order Annexure P/10 dated 05.12.2019.

2. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3 states that the writ petition is premature as the challenge to Annexure P/10 is to tentative seniority list and instead of filing a writ petition, the petitioner if so advised may file objections which would be considered and decided in accordance with law. Learned counsel further undertakes that till such time that tentative seniority list is not

finalized, no action adverse to the interest of the petitioners would be taken.

3. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of as not calling any further orders at this stage. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek redress in accordance with law if the circumstances of the case so warrant pursuant to the decision of the objections filed by the petitioners and finalization of the tentative seniority list.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

26.02.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether re\portable

: Yes/No