

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**COCP No. 1869 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 27, 2020**

Nidhi Sharma

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Shyam Lal

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Yogesh Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Suveer Sheokand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Admittedly, the maintenance of son of petitioner as per settlement has been paid upto September 2019. This petition which was filed on 30.05.2018, as such does not survives and has been rendered infructuous.

At this stage, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the respondent will keep on paying regularly maintenance as per the compromise.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

January 27, 2020
Jyoti-II

***Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:***

***Yes/No
Yes/No***