

(109) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.278 of 2020

Date of decision: 08.01.2020

Roop Ram

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Sachin Gupta Ladwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner may be permitted to file representation in view of the decision of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Hargurpratap Singh v. State of Punjab and others, 2007 (13) SCC 292** since it is not open to the respondents to replace the petitioner, who is a contractual employee, with another contractual employee despite the work and conduct of the petitioner being satisfactory.

[2] Without commenting upon the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a comprehensive representation before respondent No.7. In case any such representation is received along with the certified copy of the order, the same be considered and decided in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

[3] Copy of this order be given to the learned counsel under the signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.01.2020
amit