

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 521 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 15.01.2020

Mukhtar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-860-2020

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record, the correct translated copy of Annexure P-9.

Application is allowed and Annexure P-9 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-1126-2020

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for placing on record Annexure P-10.

Application is allowed and Annexure P-10 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M No. 521 of 2020

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 31 dated 03.05.2014 registered under Sections 307, 382, 379, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC (Section 326

IPC added later on) and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that as per the statements of injured-Rashpal Singh and Pargat Singh, two injuries are attributed to the petitioner-Mukhtar Singh i.e. one *Kirpan* blow on left hand of Rashpal Singh and second is *Kirpan* blow on left knee of Pargat Singh. He further states that the petitioner is in custody since 05.08.2019 and the trial would take long time. The other five co-accused have already granted regular bail.

On the instructions from SI Parkash Singh, learned State counsel stated that challan has been presented and charges are yet to be framed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and I am of the view that the petitioner is in custody since 05.08.2019, the trial will take time to conclude and no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner behind the bars. Moreover, the other five co-accused have already been enlarged on regular bail.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

15.01.2020
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No