

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.76 of 2020

Date of Decision: 08.01.2020

RANJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. S.L. Chander Shekhar Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have preferred this petition for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 in the context of protection of civil rights of the petitioners including protection qua their lives and personal liberties from being invaded by respondent Nos.4 to 7.

Petitioner No.1 is the mother of petitioner No.2, who is minor aged about 7 years. Husband of petitioner No.1 has died. There was a Will executed by her father-in-law in favour of husband of petitioner No.1 and son of respondent No.4.

Petitioners have alleged some antecedent behaviour of

some criminal activity at the instance of respondent No.4, for which they have already preferred representations before respondents No.2 and 3.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Mr. J.S. Ghuman, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents No.1 to 3. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interests of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to appreciate the allegations of the petitioners, however respondent No.2 can be asked to have a fair look at the grievance of the petitioners for which representation dated 05.08.2019 (Annexure P-2) has already been moved by the them.

In view of above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to devise his ways and means to ascertain the threat perception of the petitioners. In case, the threat perception is found to be genuine, then necessary action be taken in accordance with law.

It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view the threat perception shown by the petitioners.

January 08, 2020

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No