

212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2020.02.27 17:13
f attests to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-104-2020

Date of Decision: 27.02.2020.

Nachhtar Singh @ Satta

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate for
Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel states that the arrest of the petitioner has
been effected and the petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

27.02.2020.

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No