

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 386 of 2020

Date of decision:-09.01.2020

Virender Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate for
Ms. Sunita Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to promote him to the post of TGT (English) from the date his juniors were promoted.

Claim of the petitioner for promotion to the post of TGT (English) has been rejected on the ground that he was not having English as teaching subject in B.Ed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the order dated 11.09.2019, whereby Smt. Promila, JBT Teacher, was considered for promotion to the post of TGT (English). In that case, Smt. Promila had not done English as a teaching subject in B.Ed. She was having the eligibility qualifications of B.A. (English) and D.Ed. along with experience as JBT teacher. Order dated 11.09.2019 is taken on record as Annexure 'A'.

With regard to his grievance, petitioner has served a legal notice dated 19.11.2019 (Annexure P-6) upon the respondents, thereby claiming the benefit of promotion at par with Smt. Promila.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

At this stage, present petition is disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.2-Director, Elementary Education, Haryana, to look into the legal notice dated 19.11.2019 (Annexure P-6) keeping in view the order dated 11.09.2019 (Annexure 'A') and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

09.01.2020
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No