

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1879 of 2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 7.2.2020

Jagat Singh (deceased) through LR **....Appellant**

VERSUS

Jainendra Gurukul Panchkula **....Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Ms. Sakshi Saini, Advocate for
Mr. C.S. Bagri, Advocate for the appellant.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Arguing counsel for the appellant is stated to be in personal difficulty. Counsel appearing was confronted with as to what personal difficulty he has, she is not in a position to explain as she would state that somebody from his family is unwell. A very vague request has been made.

A relevant extract from order dated 20.11.2019, reads as follows:-

“Last opportunity was granted to the appellant to address arguments, vide order dated 15.10.2019. In spite of arguing the case on 19.11.2019, a written request was filed for adjournment. In view of rigor of order dated 15.10.2019, the case was kept for today.

Today also, learned counsel for the appellant is not ready to address arguments on merits. He wants to place on record some documents on the ground that there is no stay in this appeal and no prejudice is going to be caused to the respondent.

Though there is no ground to adjourn the case further, but keeping in view the inability of learned counsel for the

appellant to address arguments today, adjourned to 23.01.2020 subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited in ‘Poor Patient Relief Fund’, PGI, Chandigarh.”

Appellant deposited the costs of Rs.5,000/- in terms of order dated 20.11.2019 but still has failed to ensure his presence for making submissions.

Dismissed.

FEBRUARY 7, 2020		(REKHA MITTAL)
‘D. Gulati’		JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No