

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 207

ARB-292-2018

Date of decision : 05.02.2020

M/s Zamil Air Conditioners India Pvt. Ltd.

..... Applicant

VERSUS

Ireo Waterfront Pvt. Ltd.

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Mrinal Beri, Advocate, for the applicant.

None for the respondent.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of an Arbitrator.

Through a work order the respondent required the applicant to supply and install DG Room Ventilation Works for its project site near village Devatwal, Ludhiana. Admittedly, the said work order contained Clause 40 as per which the disputes between them were to be settled through the mode of arbitration.

Disputes having arisen between the parties, the applicant through legal notice dated 25.09.2017 required the respondent to resolve the disputes. Thereafter the applicant through legal notice dated 06.11.2017 invoked the arbitration clause calling upon the respondent to appoint an Arbitrator and through another legal notice dated 25.01.2018 the applicant proposed the name of Mr.Sunil Sharma, Advocate, as the Arbitrator and sought the respondent's consent in that regard. None of the aforesaid communications were responded to by the respondent occasioning the filing of the present application for the aforesaid relief.

In spite of service, no one appears on behalf of the respondent.

After hearing learned counsel for the applicant, the disputes which are the subject matter of the present application are referred to the Coordinator, Delhi

International Arbitration Centre, Delhi High Court for getting the disputes between

the parties adjudicated upon through the mode of arbitration as per applicable rules.

The matter is disposed of in the above terms.

05.02.2020
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No