

High Court for the State of Telangana

The Hon'ble The Chief Justice Sri Raghvendra Singh Chauhan

and

The Hon'ble Sri Justice A. Abhishek Reddy

W.P. No. 29241 of 2019

Date: 02-01-2020

Between:

Amaram Lingareddy

...Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana
Rep. by its Principal Secretary
Home Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad, and 6 others

...Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr. Panga Sivanarayana

Counsel for the respondents: Mr. Sripathi Santosh Kumar,
GP attached to the office of the AG

The Court made the following:

Order: (per Hon'ble The Chief Justice Sri Raghvendra Singh Chauhan)

The petitioner, Mr. Amaram Lingareddy, has filed this Habeas Corpus petition ostensibly on the ground that on 27-12-2019, the police had taken his son, *namely* Amaram Sandeep Reddy into custody. Ever since then, the whereabouts of his son are unknown. Therefore, the petitioner claims that his son is being illegally detained by respondent Nos. 6 and 7, *namely* the Station House Officer, Kukatpally Police Station, and the Sub-Inspector of Police, Kukatpally Police Station, respectively.

By order dated 31-12-2019, this Court had directed Mr. Sripathi Santosh Kumar, the learned counsel for the State, to produce Mr. Amaram Sandeep Reddy, the *detenu*, before this Court today. Today, the learned counsel has filed his counter along with certain documents. The same shall be taken on record. According to the learned counsel, on 23-10-2019, Mrs. Rukmini Rathod Ramesh, the complainant, had lodged an FIR, *namely* F.I.R. No. 623 of 2019, with the Police Station, Kukatpally, for the offences under Sections 420 and 406 IPC, against the *detenu*. During the course of investigation, on 30-12-2019, the *detenu* was arrested. On

31-12-2019, he was produced before the concerned learned Magistrate. The learned Magistrate has sent the *detenu* to judicial custody. Therefore, according to the learned counsel, presently the custody of the *detenu* is not an illegal one.

These facts have not been controverted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Since the *detenu* is presently in judicial custody, his custody is a legal one. Therefore, this Court does not find any merit in the present Habeas Corpus petition. It is, hereby, disposed of.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, pending if any, stand dismissed as infructuous.

(Raghvendra Singh Chauhan, CJ)

(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)

Dt: 02-01-2020
lur