IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

CRL.P. NO: 6522 OF 2020

Between:

Madhu Sudhan Wadde, S/o. Ramachandrudu

..... Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad

.....Respondent

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the petition filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to direct the S.H.O. Shantinagar Police Station, Gadwal District, to release the Petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.87/2020 of Shantinagar Police Station, Gadwal District, in the interest of justice.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Shafath Ahmed Khan, Advocate for the Petitioner, and of Public Prosecutor for the respondent, the Court made the following.

ORDER

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6522 of 2020

ORDER:

This application is filed seeking anticipatory bail. The petitioner is A1 in Crime No.87 of 2019 of Shantinagar Police Station, Gadwal District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 498-A IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

The marriage of the petitioner with the *de facto* complainant was performed on 20.10.2019. Thereafter, it appears that matrimonial disputes arose between them and negotiations were held in the presence of elders. The said fact was mentioned in the complaint dated 20.05.2020. It appears that the petitioner and the 2nd respondent have entered into an agreement dated 20.10.2019. The petitioner has filed copy of the said agreement. In the said agreement, it is specifically mentioned that there are disputes between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant and there is incompatibility between them. In the agreement, it is specifically mentioned that they have decided to obtain divorce with mutual consent. In the complaint, the said facts are suppressed, by the *de facto* complainant.

Considering the said aspects, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the Station House Officer,

Shantinagar Police Station, Gadwal District, within a period of 15 days from the date of this order. On such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioner on bail on his executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees five thousand only) with two sureties for the like sum each to his satisfaction.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this criminal petition, shall stand closed.

SD/- I.NAGA LAKSHMI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Judicial First Class Magistrate at Alampur
2. The Station House Officer, Shantinagar Police Station, Gadwal District
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to Sri Shafath Ahmed Khan, Advocate (OPUC)
5. One spare copy.

HIGH COURT

KL,J



DATED: 11.12.2020

ORDER:

CRLP. NO: 6522 of 2020

BAIL