HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY ,THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.LAKSHMAN

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 4171 OF 2020

Between:
Mohammed Lateef, S/o. Mohammed Mehmood, Aged about 32 years, Occ. Private Service, R/o. 9-10-26/3/1, Attara Sidhi, Qutub Shah Masjid, Golconda, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.1

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/Accused-1 in the event of his arrest in connection with Cr. No. 8 of 2020 on the file of P.S., Narsingi Cyberabad, R.R. District.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of M/s Naseem Ara, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. LAKSHMAN

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4171 OF 2020

ORDER:

This is an application for anticipatory bail in Crime No.8 of 2020 pending on the file of the Station House Officer, Narsingi Police Station, Cyberabad. The petitioner herein is accused No.1 in the said Crime No.8 of 2020. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 420, 468, 471 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Sections 82 and 83 of the Registration Act.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner - accused No.1 and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the respondent - State. Perused the record.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner's name is not there in the complaint. Even then the police have included the petitioner as accused No.1. Even as per the investigation, accused Nos.2, 3 and 6 have voluntarily confessed to have committed the offences alleged. The petitioner is only a mediator between accused Nos.2 and 3. The petitioner is nothing to do with the offences alleged against him. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to consider the anticipatory bail application by imposing some conditions.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed the application on the ground that the offences alleged against the petitioner herein are serious in nature and there is every possibility of the petitioner interfering with the investigation.

5. A perusal of the remand report would reveal that accused No.1 hatched a plan to grab the property in Plot No.B-147 in Survey Nos.96/2 and 3 admeasuring 300 square yards situated at Bandlaguda Jagir, Hyderabad. Accused No.1 has acquaintance with accused No.6. Accused Nos.1 and 4 are friends. Accused No.1 disclosed his plan and intention for grabbing the plot and asked accused No.4 to bring someone with the same age of original owner Late Jagan Singh, for which, accused No.4 agreed. He disclosed the plan to accused No.5 Mallesh who got acquaintance with him at vegetable market. Accused No.5 also agreed and brought accused No.2 for impersonating the original owner. Later, accused No.1 along with accused Nos.4 and 5 brought accused No.2 to a Mee-Seva Center and created fake Aadhar Card on the name of the original owner late Jagan Singh. For doing this, accused No.1 gave Rs.50,000/- to accused No.5 and Rs.40,000/- to accused No.2. Thus, there are specific allegations against the petitioner herein. In view of the same and also the nature of offence committed by the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him.

6. Therefore, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if ary, pending in the criminal petition stand closed.

SD/-N.CHANDRASEKHAR RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XVI Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Rajendranagar
2. The Station House Officer, Narsingi Police Station, Cyberabad District
3. One CC to Sri Naseem Ara ,Advocate (OPUC)
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana Hyderabad (OUT)
5. Two CD Copies

Ks

HIGH COURT

KLJ

DATED:24/09/2020



ORDER

CRLP.No.4171 of 2020

DISMISSING THE CRL.P

03/10/2020.