

HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE SHAMEEM AKTHER

WRIT PETITION NO. 10191 OF 2020

Between:

Thati Bhadramma, W/o. Veera Swamy, D/o. Soyam Singaiah, Aged: 54 years,
Occ: Agriculture, R/o. H.No. 2-11, Laxmipuram Village, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradi
Kothagudem District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Telangana, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Sub - Collector, Bhadrachalam, Bhadradi Kothagudem District.
3. The Thasildar, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 3rd Respondent in not considering the my application dated 12.02.2020 for implementing the orders passed by the 2nd respondent in ROR case No.2/BPD/2019 dt 11.12.2019 for unsign the katha and fresh enquiry in the Revenue Records pertaining to Sy.No. 440/68, to an extent of Ac. 10-00 gts., of Laxmipuram village, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District, as being illegal arbitrary and unjust.

IA NO: 1 OF 2020

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd Respondent to forthwith consider my representation dt 12.02.2020 for implementing orders passed in ROR case No.2/BPD/2019 dt 11.12.2019 rectification of entries in the Revenue Records pertaining to Sy.No. 440/68, to an extent of Ac. 10-00 gts., of Laxmipuram village, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI PRAVEEN KUMAR VEERJALA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE SHAMEEM AKTHER

WRIT PETITION No.10191 OF 2020

ORDER:

This Writ Petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed by the petitioner, wherein the following prayer is made:

"... to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 3rd Respondent in not considering the my application dated 12.02.2020 for implementing the orders passed by the 2nd respondent in ROR case No.2/BPD/2019 dt 11.12.2019 for unsign the katha and fresh enquiry in the Revenue Records pertaining to Sy.No.440/68, to an extent of Ac.10-00 gts., of Laxmipuram village, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradi Kothagudem District, as being illegal arbitrary and unjust and to grant such other relief or reliefs"

2. Heard the learned counsel for both sides and perused the record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the absolute owner and possessor of land admeasuring Ac.10-00 in Survey No.440/68 situated at Laxmipuram, Burgampadu Revenue Village, Bhadradi-Kothagudem Districtm, having succeeded the same by way of inheritance. While so, respondent No.3-Tahsildar, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradi-Kothagudem District, vide proceedings, dated 04.09.2018, mutated the name of one Ukam Ramanamma in the revenue records as pattadar and possessor of the subject land. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner preferred an appeal before respondent No.2-Sub-Collector, Bhadrachalam, who vide order, dated 11.12.2019, has set aside the order, dated 04.09.2018,

passed by respondent No.3-Tahsildar, and instructed respondent No.3-Tahsildar to initiate fresh enquiry for succession. Seeking to implement the said order, dated 11.12.2019, passed by respondent No.2-Sub-Collector, the petitioner made representation, dated 12.02.2020, before respondent No.3-Tahsildar, but till date, no action has been taken on the said representation and ultimately, prayed to grant the relief sought for in this Writ Petition, as indicated above.

4. There is no dispute with regard to the filing of representation, dated 12.02.2020, by the petitioner. Admittedly, no action has been taken on the said representation so far. Much time has been elapsed. By this time, respondent No.3-Tahsildar ought to have disposed of the said representation.

5. Under these circumstances, respondent No.3-Tahsildar, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradri-Kothagudem District, is directed to examine and dispose of the representation, dated 12.02.2020, submitted by the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of three (3) months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-M.MANJULA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Tahsildar, Burgampadu Mandal, Bhadradri Kothagudem District
2. One CC to Sri Praveen Kumar Veerjala, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad, [OUT]
4. Two CD Copies.

MP

[Signature]

HIGH COURT

DATED:31/12/2020

ORDER

WP.No.10191 of 2020



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS

⑥ VW
16/1/21