

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 11886 OF 2007

Between:

R.Vasanth Rao, S/o.Late Ramakrishna Rao, R/o.H.No.18-86-16, Rajendranagar
Village, Shameerpet, R.R. District,

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Depot Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C., Falaknuma Depot, Engine Bowli, Hyderabad.
2. The Regional Manager, A.P.S.R.T.C., Hyderabad City Region, Picket, Secunderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction directing the 2nd respondent herein to consider the representation dt.8-3-2007 and sanction annual increments of the years 2005 and 2006 and to release the salaries during the period of March, 2006 to November 2006 in interest of justice.

WPMP. NO: 14761 OF 2007

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 2nd respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dt.8-3-2007 for granting increments and releasing salaries.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI MOHD. GHOUSEUDDIN

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI N. VASUDEVA REDDY (S.C. FOR T.S.R.T.C.)

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11886 OF 2007

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel for the respondent - Corporation.

2. This writ petition is filed praying to direct the respondents to consider the representation dated 08.03.2007 and sanction annual increments for the years 2005 and 2006 and release the salaries during the period of March, 2006 to November, 2006.

3. This Court, by order dated 11.06.2007, made in W.P.M.P.No.14761 of 2007, directed the 2nd respondent to pass appropriate orders as per law within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

4. In the counter affidavit, while justifying the decision not to grant the benefit sought by the petitioner, it is averred that on 20.08.2007, orders were passed in compliance of the directions issued by this Court.

5. Since the prayer in the writ petition was only to consider the representation, dated 08.03.2007 and pass appropriate orders, and orders dated 20.08.2007 were passed, the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication and the same has become infructuous.

6. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Pending Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K AMMAJI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri Mohd. Ghouseuddin, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri N. Vasudeva Reddy (S.C. For T.S.R.T.C.) [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies.

MP

HIGH COURT

PNR,J

DATED:27/02/2020



ORDER

WP.No.11886 of 2007

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS

Handwritten signature
23/04/2020