

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE THIRTY FIRST DAY OF JANUARY TWO THOUSAND
AND TWENTY

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G SRI DEVI
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 524 OF 2020**

Between:

1. Vissa Dhanunjay s/o Nageswara Rao,
2. Vissa Nageswara Rao, s/o Subba Rao,
3. Vissa Ramadevi, w/o Nageswara Rao,
4. Podili Chandra Lakshmi Prasanna,

Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1,3,2,4

AND

The State of Telangana, through S.H.O. Kusaiguda Police Station,
Rachakonda, rep by its Public Prosecutor, High Court Hyderabad

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C, praying that in the
circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the
Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the
petitioners herein on bail in the event of their arrest in connection
with FIR .No. 753 of 2019 of Kusaiguda Police Station,
Rachakonda, R.R.District

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the
Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon
hearing the arguments of SRI KONDIPARTHI V RATNAKAR
Advocate for the Petitioners and the Additional PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR for the Respondent, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE G. SRI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.524 of 2020

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, is filed by petitioners/A1, A3, A2 and A4, seeking to grant anticipatory bail to them in Crime No.753 of 2019 on the file of Kusaiguda Police Station, Rachakonda District, registered for the offences under Sections 498-A, 506 IPC and under Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners/A1, A3, A2 and A4, learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State and perused the record.

3. It is alleged in the complaint that the marriage of de-facto complainant was performed with A1 on 28.06.2018 and after some time of their marriage, A1 at the instigation of A2 to A4 used to harass her mentally and physically and gave her false information and that A1 had illegal affair with some other lady and that due to their unbearable harassment, the de-facto complainant went to her parents' house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner Nos.2 and 3 are parents, petitioner No.4 is the married sister of the 1st petitioner/A1 and that they have not committed any offence as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in the crime. He further submits that except the customary presentations, there was no exchange of dowry and that the de-facto complainant used to live at her parents' house for days together. He further submits that A2 to A4 are residing separately from the de-facto complainant and A1

and as such, the question of harassment does not arise. He further submits that the petitioners have got fixed abode and they are ready to furnish sufficient sureties to the satisfaction of the Court and they shall abide by any condition imposed by this Court.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. In view of the nature of allegations leveled against petitioners 2 to 4/A3, A2 and A4 and other facts and circumstances, without advertng to the merits of the matter, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to petitioner Nos.2 to 4/A3,A2 and A4. Since there are specific allegations against the 1st petitioner/A1, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him and accordingly, his prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is partly allowed and petitioner Nos.2 to 4/A3, A2 and A4 are directed to surrender before the Station House Officer, Kusaiguda Police Station, Rachakonda District, within a period of 10 days from the date of this order. On such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release petitioner Nos.2 to 4/A3, A2 and A4 on bail on their executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees fifteen thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to his satisfaction. On such release, the petitioner Nos.2 to 4/A3, A2 and A4 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C and co-operate with the investigating officer in investigating the case.

9. The Criminal Petition is dismissed against the 1st petitioner/A1.

10. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending in this criminal petition, shall stand closed.

Sd/- M. MANJULA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The XIX Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Malkajgiri.
2. The Station House Officer, Kusaiguda Police Station, Rachakonda
3. One CC to SRI. KONDIPARTHI V RATNAKAR Advocate [OPUC];
4. Two CC to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court at Hyderabad. [OUT]
5. One Spare Copy

GR

HIGH COURT

GSDJ

DATED:31/01/2020

ORDER

CRLP.No.524 of 2020

BAIL

