



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 07.01.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P. (MD) .Nos. 19657 & 19660 of 2019

1. Samiyappan
 2. Karthick
 3. Chandrasekaran
 4. Balakrishnan
 5. Pandian
 6. Veerappan
 7. Saravanan
 8. Raja @ Rajendran
 9. Lakshmanan
 10. Duraisamy
 11. Raja
 12. Krishnasamy
 13. Suresh
 14. Murugesan
 15. Silambarasan
 16. Karthick
 17. Mani @ Manirathinam
 18. Palanichamy
 19. Kanthasamy
- ...Petitioners/A1 to A19 in both Crl.O.Ps.

Vs.

State Represented by the
Inspector of Police,
Gujiliamparai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(In Crime Nos. 110 & 111 of 2018)

...R-1/Complainant in both Crl.O.Ps.

Selvam ... R-2/Defacto complainant in
Crl.O.P(MD) No.19657 of 2019
Manonmani ... R-2/Defacto complainant in
Crl.O.P(MD) No.19660 of 2019

COMMON PRAYER: These Criminal Original Petitions have been filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records in the Crime No. 110 & 111 of 2018 on the file of the

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



first respondent Police and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.J.Lawrance

For R1

: Mr.S.Bharathi

Government Advocate (crl. side)

WEB COPY

For R2 in both OP's: Mr.N. Mariappan

COMMON ORDER

These Criminal Original Petitions have been filed to quash the FIR in Crime Nos.110 & 111 of 2018 on the file of the first respondent Police.

2.These cases are still at the stage of investigation. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

3. The Joint Memo of Compromise have been filed before this Court, which have been signed by the petitioners and the second respondents and also by their respective counsel. The petitioners and the second respondents were also present in person before this Court and they were identified by the police officials. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves.

4.Under such circumstances, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the First Information Reports pending, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature. In the light of the guidelines given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **2017 9 SCC 641-(Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath)**, this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. quashes the First Information Report in Crime Nos. 110 & 111 of 2018.

5. It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have paid a sum of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) as costs to the Credit of the Anbagam, Madurai and Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) as costs to the Credit of M.S. Chellamuthu Trust and Research Foundation, K.K. Nagar, Madurai and filed the original cash receipts along with this memo on 07.01.2020. The said submission is placed on record.

6. Accordingly, these Criminal Original Petitions are allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in Crime Nos. 110 & 111 of 2018 on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed



and the terms of joint compromise memo shall form part and parcel of this order.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

WEB COPY

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS)

Encl:Xerox copy of joint compromise memo

ksa

To

1. Inspector of Police,
Gujiliamparai Police Station,
Dindigul District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+2 CC to Mr.J.LAWRENCE, Advocate (SR-504,505[F] dated
07/01/2020)

Common Order made in
Crl.O.P. (MD) .Nos. 19657 &
19660 of 2019
07.01.2020

KM/(29.01.2020) 3P 5C