



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 02.01.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.19433 of 2019

WEB COPY

M/s.Vasan Health Care Pvt Ltd.,

Represented by its Authorized Director

A.M.Arun

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State Rep.by

The Inspector of Police

Central Crime Branch

Madurai

2.V.Karupiah

...Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to direct the 1st Respondent and their officials not to harass the Petitioner unnecessarily against law under the guise of enquiry, till such time the MA.No.1050/2019 on the file of National Company Law Tribunal, Chennai Branch is disposed of

For Petitioner : Mr.Niranjana S.Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Chandrasekar

No.1 Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER


This petition has been filed seeking direction to direct the 1st Respondent and their officials not to harass the Petitioner unnecessarily against law under the guise of enquiry, till such time the MA.No.1050/2019 on the file of National Company Law Tribunal, Chennai Branch is disposed of

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the respondent police harassed the petitioner under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police submits that on the complaint given by the defacto complainants against the petitioner, petition enquiry is pending on the file of the respondent police

4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police has been harassing him under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers



of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:


a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant

c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

d) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

e) The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lalita Kumari**



Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)]
shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

aav

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch
Madurai

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court

+1 CC to Mr.NIRANJAN S.KUMAR, Advocate (SR-52[F] dated 02/01/2020
)

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.19433 of 2019
02.01.2020

KM/(23.01.2020) 3P 4C