



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 20.08.2020
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

WEB COPY

W.P. (MD)No.13009 of 2017
and
W.M.P. (MD)No.10075 of 2017

A.Arasakumari

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Government of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Co-operation, Food and Consumer Protection
Department,
St. George Fort.,
Chennai.
- 2.The Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
M.V.Natarajan Maaligai,
170, Periyar E.V.R.High Road,
Kilpauk, Chennai-10.
- 3.The Regional Joint Registrar of Co-operative
Societies,
Tuticorin,
Tuticorin District.
- 4.The Deputy Registrar of Co-operative
Societies,
Thiruchendur,
Tuticorin District.
- 5.0.464 Kayamozhi Primary Agricultural
Co-operative Credit Society (Ltd),
Rep. by its President,
Kayamozhi,
Tiruchendur Taluk,
Tuticorin District.

6.Rajeswaran

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 3 and 4 to take action on the petitioner's complaints dated 15.05.2017, 07.07.2017 and 10.07.2017 and prevent the misuse of the funds of the fifth respondent Society.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



For Petitioner : Mr.M.Saravanan

For R1 to R5 : Mrs.S.Srimathy
Special Government Pleader

WEB COPY

O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the official respondent.

2.She was a Director in the fifth respondent Society. According to her, the sixth respondent had committed certain serious irregularities. The petitioner had given complaints in this regard. The details are set out in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition.

3.All that the petitioner wants is that the fourth respondent should take a decision in the matter. Though notice was issued to the sixth respondent, the sixth respondent refused to receive the same.

4.The learned Special Government Pleader states that the fourth respondent had also called upon the sixth respondent to offer his comments on the petitioner's complaint.

5.The fourth respondent will dispose of the petitioner's petition mentioned complaints on merits and in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. I make it clear that I have not gone into the merits of the matter. The defences of the sixth respondent are left open. The fact that the writ petition has been disposed of will not in any way prejudice the defence of the sixth respondent.

6.With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Deputy Registrar

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



To:

- 1.The Principal Secretary,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Co-operation, Food and Consumer Protection Department,
St. George Fort.,
Chennai.
- 2.The Registrar of Co-operative Societies,
M.V.Natarajan Maaligai,
170, Periyar E.V.R.High Road,
Kilpauk, Chennai-10.
- 3.The Regional Joint Registrar of Co-operative
Societies,
Tuticorin,
Tuticorin District.
- 4.The Deputy Registrar of Co-operative
Societies,
Thiruchendur,
Tuticorin District.
- 5.0.464 Kayamozhi Primary Agricultural
Co-operative Credit Society (Ltd),
Rep. by its President,
Kayamozhi,
Tiruchendur Taluk,
Tuticorin District.

+1 CC to M/s.Special Govt.Pleader (SR-14736[F] dated 24/08/2020)

+1 CC to M/s.R. SUBRAMANIAN, Advocate (SR-14579[F] dated 21/08/2020)

W.P. (MD)No.13009 of 2017
20.08.2020

rmi

SDS (17.09.2020) 3P-8C