



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Seventeenth day of September Two Thousand and Twenty

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mrs.Justice R.THARANI

CRL MP(MD) No.10990 of 2019 in
CRL RC(MD) No.SR37102 of 2019

K. RAVICHANDRAN

... PETITIONER/PETITIONER/
DEFACTO COMPLAINANT

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY

1 THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
ORATHANADU TALUK,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENT NO.1/
RESPONDENT NO.1/RESPONDENT

2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ORATHANADU POLICE STATION,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENT NO.2/
RESPONDENT NO.2/DEJURE COMPLAINANT

3 PARIMEL AZHAGAR
4 PERUMAL @ MAGESWARAN
5 VIVEK
6 RAVIKUMAR @ RAJKUMAR


... RESPONDENTS NO.3to6/
RESPONDENTS NO.1to4/ACCUSED

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the petition filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to condone the delay of 213 days in preferring this Criminal Revision Petition as against the order passed by the Respondent No.1 in Cr.M.P.NO.407/2018 in Spl.S.C.NO.12 of 2015 on the file of the Hon'ble I Additional District Judge(PCR) Tiruchirappalli dated 08.01.2019.

Order : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S. R. ALAGUMANI, Advocate for the petitioner and of MRS.ANANDHA DEVI, Government Advocate on behalf of the Respondents 1 and 2, MR.A.ARUN PRASAD, Advocate for respondents 4 to 6 and none appeared either in person or by an advocate on behalf of 3rd respondent, the court made the following order:-

This petition has been filed to condone the delay of 213 days in filing the present Criminal Revision Case.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



2.On the side of the petitioner, it is stated that due to poverty, the petitioner could not engage an advocate to prefer revision case in time. There was a delay of 213 days in filing the revision case and prayed the petition to be allowed.

3.On the side of the respondent, it is stated that legal aid is available in the Court and the reasons stated by the petitioner is not sustainable and prayed the petition to be dismissed.

4.It is seen that there is legal aid facilities available in the Court. The reasons stated in the petition are not satisfactory. But an opportunity for the petitioner is to be given to put forth his case. This petition is allowed on condition of payment of Rs.250/- (Rupees Two Hundred and Fifty only) to the Legal Aid Services Committee, attached to this Bench, within a period of one week from the date on which the order copy made ready.

4.The Registry is directed to number the Criminal Revision Case.

sd/-
17/09/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE (PCR),
TIRUCHIRAPPALLI.
2. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
ORATHANADU TALUK, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ORATHANADU POLICE STATION, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

COPY TO:

THE OFFICER INCHARGE
LEGAL AID SERVICES COMMITTEE,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER IN
CRL MP(MD) No.10990 of 2019 IN
CRL RC(MD) No.SR37102 of 2019
Date :17/09/2020

MRN

<https://hccs.cernts.gov.in/Reserve/> 22.09.2020/ 2P/6C