



WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.25316 of 2019

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.01.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAISWAMY

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN

W.P(MD)No.25316 of 2019

Pandiyarajan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
District Collector Office, Dindigul District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Nilakottai Taluk, Dindigul District.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Block Development Office, Nilakottai,
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.

4.The Silukkuvarpatti Village Panchayath,
represented by its Special Officer - cum -
the Block Development Officer,
Nilakottai Taluk, Dindigul District.

5.Jeyaseelan

6.Rajammal

7.Mokkai

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 to 4 to lay thar road completely for an extent of 800 meters from Silukuvarpatti to Old Silukkuvarpatti Public road under the Scheme of Components as Pooled Assigned Revenue (SCPAR), based on the contract work order given to the fifth respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 11.07.2019 within a stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Karunanidhi

For Respondents : Mr.J.Gunaseelan Muthiah
Additional Government Pleader for R.1 to R.3

Mr.J.Vishnu
for R.6



ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **M.DURAISWAMY, J.**]

The petitioner has filed the above writ petition as a Public Interest Litigation to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 to 4 to lay thar road completely for an extent of 800 meters from Silukuvarpatti to Old Silukkuvarpatti Public road under the Scheme of Components as Pooled Assigned Revenue (SCPAR), based on the contract work order given to the fifth respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 11.07.2019 within a time frame.

2. When the writ petition is taken up for hearing, the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that instead of going to the other aspects, it would be suffice, if a direction is given to the third respondent to consider the representation dated 11.07.2019 in accordance with law.

3. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 submitted that the petitioner's representation would be considered within a period of four weeks.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the sixth respondent also submitted that the sixth respondent has no objection for giving such a direction to the third respondent.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned Counsel appearing on either side, taking into consideration the limited prayer sought for by the petitioner, without expressing any opinion with regard to the merits of the case, we direct the third respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 11.07.2019 and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With these observations, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)



To

1.The District Collector,
District Collector Office,
Dindigul District.

2.The Tahsildar,
Nilakottai Taluk,
Dindigul District.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Block Development Office, Nilakottai,
Nilakottai, Dindigul District.

+1 CC to M/s.R.KARUNANITHI, Advocate (SR-2720[F] dated 23/01/2020)

+2 CC to M/s.J.VISHNU, Advocate (SR-2859[F] dated 24/01/2020)

+1 CC to M/s.K.MANIKANDAN, Advocate (SR-3088[F] dated 27/01/2020)

W.P (MD) No.25316 of 2019
23.01.2020

ssl

SDS(06.02.2020) 3P-8C