



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.03.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE T.KRISHNAVALLI

C.M.P.No (MD) .352 of 2017

in

REV.APLC.(MD)No.SR649 of 2017

IN

WA (MD) No.35 of 2016

R.Velmurugan

:Petitioner/ Review Petitioner

vs.

1.M/s.Raveena Industries,
represented by its Manager, T.Yagappan

2.The District Collector,
Collectorate, Tiruchirappalli.

3.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Srirengam, Tiruchirappalli.

4.The Tahsildar,
Thiruverumbur Taluk,
Tiruchirappalli District.

5.The Block Development Officer,
Thiruverumbur Panchayat Union,
Thiruverumbur, Tiruchirappalli -14.

:Respondents/ Respondents 1 to 4


PRAYER in C.M.P.(MD)No.352 of 2017: Civil Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act, to condone the delay of 303 days in filing the review appeal.

PRAYER in Rev.Applc(MD)No.SR649 of 2019: Review Application filed under Order XLVII Rule 1 of CPC, to recall the order passed by this Court in W.A.(MD)No.35 of 2016, dated 05.02.2016.

Prayer in WA(MD). 35/ 2016 : Writ Appeal filed under clause 15 of Letters Patent, against the order made in WP.(MD).No.16488/2015 dated 09.12.2015.

Prayer in WP(MD). 16448/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



Mandamus, directing the 4th respondent to take appropriate action on the proceedings of the 3rd respondent in O.Mu.A2/5061/2015 dated 01.09.2015 regarding provide adequate buses on contract basis to the petitioner's village in order to facilitate his villagers to participate in the 58th Death Anniversary (Guru Pooja) of Martyr Immanuel Sekaran at Paramakudi on 11.09.2015 thereby provide adequate protection to them.

For Petitioner :No Appearance

ORDER

(Order of this Court was made by **S.S.SUNDAR, J.**)

When the matter was listed earlier on 16.03.2020, there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence, the Registry was directed to post this matter under the caption "for dismissal".

2.Even today there is no representation for the petitioner, despite the fact that the matter is posted under the caption "for dismissal". Hence, this Court has no other option, but to dismiss this petition for non-prosecution. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution and the Review Application is dismissed at SR stage itself. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Collectorate, Tiruchirappalli.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Srirengam, Tiruchirappalli.
- 3.The Tahsildar,
Thiruverumbur Taluk,
Tiruchirappalli District.
- 4.The Block Development Officer,
Thiruverumbur Panchayat Union,
Thiruverumbur, Tiruchirappalli -14.

C.M.P.No (MD) .352 of 2017
in REV.APLC. (MD)No.SR649 of 2017
17.03.2020

CMR

MS/13.05.2020/2P.5C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>