



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 24.02.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S. SUNDAR

W.P. (MD) .No.16745 of 2018

and

W.M.P. (MD) .No.17062 of 2018

1.K.Amuthavalli

2.K.Karuppiah

.. Petitioners

Vs.

1.The District Revenue Officer,
Madurai District, Madurai.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai South, Madurai.

3.The Tahsildar,
Madurai West Taluk,
Madurai.

4.Parameshwari

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for the issuance of Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the 1st respondent in Ne.Mu.No.6133/2016/G5, dated 26.03.2018 and to quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.N.Karthik Kanna

For Respondents: Mr.A.Muthu Karuppan for R1 to R3
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the respondents submitted that the writ petition has become infructuous as the Tahsildar has now granted patta in terms of the order impugned in the present writ petition.



2.The District Revenue Officer /the first respondent herein by the impugned order, dated 26.03.2018 has directed patta in favour of the 4th respondent in respect of an extent of 0.02.18 hectares. It is only consequent to the impugned order. The position as to how the petitioner will be competent to challenge the consequential order, if the present writ petition is dismissed as infructuous, is not explained.

3.However, at the request of the petitioner, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl.Side)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

- 1.The District Revenue Officer,
Madurai District, Madurai.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Madurai South, Madurai.
- 3.The Tahsildar,
Madurai West Taluk,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.K.K.SAMY, Advocate (SR-8414[F]

W.P. (MD) .No.16745 of 2018
24.02.2020

TM

SDS (13.03.2020) 2P-5C