



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.07.2020

WEB COPY

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **B.PUGALENDHI**

**Crl.R.C. (MD)No.873 of 2016**  
**and**  
**Crl.M.P. (MD)No.12732 of 2016**

Kanaga Mary John

... Petitioner

versus

1. Tamilselvi
2. Minor Jemima
3. Minor Hersome  
(Minors are represented by their  
mother/1<sup>st</sup> respondent herein)
4. The State of Tamil Nadu  
Rep. by the Public Prosecutor,  
Nagercoil,  
Kanyakumari District.

... Respondents

Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 397 r/w. 401 of Cr.P.C. against the order dated 16.09.2016 passed in Crl.M.P.No.2919 of 2015 in unnumbered Revision Petition on the file of the learned District and Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Palanivelayutham

For R4 : Mr.Robinson, Advocate (Crl.Side)

**ORDER**

This Criminal Revision Petition has been filed against the order dated 16.09.2016 passed in Crl.M.P.No.2919 of 2015 in unnumbered Revision Petition filed before the learned District and Sessions Judge, Kanyakumari District.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the first respondent/wife of the petitioner died due to Covid-19 and now, the husband is taking care of children and therefore, he has not aggrieved over the impugned order. Hence, the learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing this Criminal Revision Case.



3. Recording the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as not pressed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar ()

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

1. The District and Sessions Judge,  
Kanniyakumari District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.
3. The Section Officer, (2 Copies)  
Criminal Section,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

**Note :** In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

**Crl.R.C. (MD) No.873 of 2016**

**23.07.2020**

ogy

SDS (03.08.2020) 2P-5C