



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.11.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.(MD).No.9038 of 2014

and

M.P.(MD)No.1 of 2015

WEB COPY

Muthu Pandi

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1.The District Collector,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

2.The District Employment Officer,
Department of Employment and Training,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli 627 004.

3.The Tahsildar,
Sankarankovil Taluk,
Sankarankovil,
Tirunelveli District.

4.Selvarani

5.Swamydass

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned appointment orders issued to the fourth and fifth respondents in Na.Ka.No.A5/10001/2010, dated 27.09.2013, by the third respondent and quash the same and consequently, direct the second respondent to sponsor the name of the petitioner for the post of Village Assistant in Vellapaneri and Moovirandali Villages in Sankarankovil Taluk, Tirunelveli District and further direct the third respondent to start the selection process afresh.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Perumal

For R1 to R3 : Mr.D.Muruganandham,

Additional Government Pleader


For R4 and R5 : Mr.D.Selvanayagm

ORDER

The order of appointment of the respondents 4 and 5 to the post of Village Assistant, is under challenge in the present writ petition.

2.The grievances of the writ petitioner is that the persons, who were not belonging to the notified village were appointed. Admittedly, the village, in which, the petitioner is residing,

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



namely, Vanniconenthel, had not been notified in the recruitment notification. The name of the writ petitioner was not sponsored by the Employment Exchange, in view of the fact that he is not residing in the village notified, as per the recruitment notification. The recruitment notification reveals that the village of Vadakku Panavadali Chatram is notified. The respondents 4 and 5 are residing in Vadakku Panavadali Chatram and therefore, the contention of the writ petitioner is that the appointed candidates are residing outside the notified village, is incorrect. This apart, the name of the writ petitioner was not even sponsored by the Employment Exchange as he had not sponsored the recruitment conditions.

3.This being the factum, now after this length of time, the appointed candidates cannot be disturbed and further, the writ petitioner has not established any acceptable legal ground for the purpose of granting the relief as such sought for.

4.Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (crl.side)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

sjj

To

1.The District Collector,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli.

2.The District Employment Officer,
Department of Employment and Training,
Tirunelveli District,
Tirunelveli 627 004.

3.The Tahsildar,
Sankarankovil Taluk,
Sankarankovil,
Tirunelveli District.

+1 CC to M/s.V.PERUMAL, Advocate (SR-21364[F] dated 06/11/2020)

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-21461[F] dated 06/11/2020)

W.P. (MD) .No.9038 of 2014

04.11.2020

PV(CO)

KB(11.11.2020) 2P 6C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>