



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:07.10.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.18882, 21072 and 21073 of 2016

and

Crl.M.P.(MD).Nos.9419, 10757, 10759,  
9420, 10758, 10760 of 2016

WEB COPY

1.Tajudeen

2.Muhamudha Banu

... Petitioners/ Accused 2 & 3  
in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.18882 of 2016

Mohammed Azmi @ Abdullah Laffir

... Petitioner / Accused No.1  
in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.21072 of 2016

Sheik Dawood

... Petitioner /Accused No.4  
in Crl.O.P.(MD).No.21073 of 2016

Vs

1.State represented by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Cantonment,Trichy.  
(Cr.No.10/2016)

... Ist Respondent/Complainant

2.Nihamathunisha

... 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent/Defacto  
Complainant  
(in all Crl.O.Ps.)

**COMMON PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, praying to quash the case in C.C.No.73 of 2016 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Trichy as against the petitioners herein.

In all Crl.O.Ps.

|                                |                                                            |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioners                | : Mr.C.Deepak                                              |
| For 1 <sup>st</sup> Respondent | : Mr.K.R.Bharathikannan<br>Government Advocate (Crl. Side) |
| For 2 <sup>nd</sup> Respondent | : Mr.V.Illanchezhian                                       |

**COMMON ORDER**

This petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.73 of 2016 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Trichy, thereby taken cognizance for the offences under Sections 498(A), 406 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.10 of 2016, as against the petitioners herein.

2.The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed

any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Without any base, the first respondent police registered a case in Crime No.10 of 2016 for the offences under Sections 498(A), 406 and 506(i) of IPC, as against the petitioners and the same has been taken cognizance in C.C.No.73 of 2016 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Trichy. Hence he prayed to quash the same.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) submitted that there are materials available to proceed with the case as against the petitioners herein and at the threshold, the criminal proceedings cannot be quashed and the charges framed against the petitioners have to be gone into a full-fledged trial. Further, he submitted that the trial has also been commenced and hence, he prayed for dismissal of the petition..

4.Heard Mr.C.Deepak, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, Mr.K.R.Bharathikannan, learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the first respondent and Mr.V.Illanchezhian, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

5. It is relevant to rely upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in **Crl.A.No.579 of 2019** dated **02.04.2019** in the case of **Devendra Prasad Singh Vs. State of Bihar & Anr.**, as follows:-

" 12.So far as the second ground is concerned, we are of the view that the High Court while hearing the application under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. had no jurisdiction to appreciate the statement of the witnesses and record a finding that there were inconsistencies in their statements and, therefore, there was no prima facie case made out against respondent No.2. In our view, this could be done only in the trial while deciding the issues on the merits or/and by the Appellate Court while deciding the appeal arising out of the final order passed by the Trial Court but not in Section 482 Cr.P.C. proceedings.

13.In view of the foregoing discussion, we allow the appeal, set aside the impugned order and restore the aforementioned complaint case to its original file for being proceeded with on merits in accordance with law.

6.Recently, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dealing in respect of the very same issue in **Crl.A.No.1572 of 2019** dated **17.10.2019** in the case of **Central Bureau of Investigation Vs. Arvind**



**Khanna**, wherein, it has been held as follows:

"19. After perusing the impugned order and on hearing the submissions made by the learned senior counsels on both sides, we are of the view that the impugned order passed by the High Court is not sustainable. In a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., the High Court has recorded findings on several disputed facts and allowed the petition. Defence of the accused is to be tested after appreciating the evidence during trial. The very fact that the High Court, in this case, went into the most minute details, on the allegations made by the appellant-C.B.I., and the defence put-forth by the respondent, led us to a conclusion that the High Court has exceeded its power, while exercising its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

20. In our view, the assessment made by the High Court at this stage, when the matter has been taken cognizance by the Competent Court, is completely incorrect and uncalled for."

7. Further the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India also held in the order dated **02.12.2019** in **Crl.A.No.1817 of 2019** in the case of **M.Jayanthi Vs. K.R.Meenakshi & anr**, as follows:

"9. It is too late in the day to seek reference to any authority for the proposition that while invoking the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing a complaint or a charge, the Court should not embark upon an enquiry into the validity of the evidence available. All that the Court should see is as to whether there are allegations in the complaint which form the basis for the ingredients that constitute certain offences complained of. The Court may also be entitled to see (i) whether the preconditions requisite for taking cognizance have been complied with or not; and (ii) whether the allegations contained in the complaint, even if accepted in entirety, would not constitute the offence alleged.

.....

13. A look at the complaint filed by the appellant would show that the appellant had incorporated the ingredients necessary for prosecuting the respondents for the offences alleged. The question whether the appellant will be able to prove the allegations in a manner



known to law would arise only at a later stage....."

The above judgments are squarely applicable to this case and as such, the points raised by the petitioner cannot be considered by this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

WEB COPY

8. In view of the above discussion, this Court is not inclined to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.73 of 2016 in Crime No.10 of 2016 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Trichy. The petitioners are at liberty to raise all the grounds before the trial Court. Considering the age of the petitioners, the personal appearance of the petitioners in Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.18882 and 21073 of 2016 is dispensed with and they shall be represented by a counsel after filing appropriate application. However, the petitioners shall be present before the Court at the time of furnishing of copies, framing charges, questioning under Section 313 Cr.P.C. and at the time of passing judgment. The trial Court is directed to complete the trial within a period of six months from the date of receipt of copy of this Order.

9. Accordingly, these criminal original petitions are dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition in Crl.M.P(MD) Nos.10758, 9419, 10757 and 10759 of 2016 are closed and Crl.M.P(MD) Nos.9420 and 10760 of 2016 are ordered.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsg

**To:**

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Trichy.
2. -do- Thro The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Trichy.
3. The Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Cantonment, Trichy.

**Copy To:** -The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.18882, 21072 and 21073 of 2016  
and

Crl.M.P.(MD).Nos.9419, 10757, 10759,  
9420, 10758, 10760 of 2016  
07.10.2020

AP(15/10/2020) 4P 5C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>