



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 01.06.2020

C O R A M

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.D.AUDIKESAVALU**W.P. (MD)No.10678 of 2015****and****M.P. (MD)Nos.1 and 2 of 2015**

M.Subethar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
O/oThe Inspector General of Registration,
100, Santome, Chennai-600 602.

2.The District Collector,
Madurai District, Madurai.

3.The District Registrar,
Madurai District, Madurai.

4.The Sub Registrar, Joint-IV,
Palanganatham Sub Registrar Office,
Madurai.

5.Sowkath Ali Khan

6.Jaithun Beevi

7.S.Murugesan

8.Annamalai

9.Muniyandi

10.S.Alagumalai

11.S.Muthukumaran

12.L.Bose

13.P.Nachiappan

14.P.Saivathurai

15.A.Manikandan

...Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the constitution of India, to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the Deed for Cancellation of Sale Registration in Document Nos.2323/13 on the file of the Fourth Respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the Fourth Respondent to delete the entries that reflects the aforesaid document from the 'A' Registrar maintained by the Fourth Respondent.



For Respondents 7,
10 to 13 and 15

: Mr. S.Chamundi Bose
for Kara Associates

For Respondents 9

: Mr. R.Anand

WEB COPY

ORDER

Having due regard to the nature of dispute sought to be agitated by the Petitioner in the Writ Petition, it is contended by Mr.J.Gunaseelan Muthiah, Learned Additional Government Pleader, who takes notice for the First Respondent, that the Division Bench of this Court in **P.Rukumani -vs- Amudhavalli** [(2020) 1 CTC 241] has examined the question as to whether a Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution challenging the validity of registration of document made under the Registration Act, 1908, could be entertained, and has held as follows :-

"9.Considering the submissions made at the bar, we are of the clear opinion that in a case relating to execution of a conveyance deed or a sale deed or cancellation thereof, if there is any dispute about the rights of the parties involved therein, the only appropriate remedy for the parties is to approach the Civil Court by way of civil suit. The act of registration of a document is a consequential act which will be subject to decree of Civil Court in case such a dispute arises.

10. We are of the clear opinion that such a relief could not have been sought or granted in Writ Jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The civil rights of the parties based on relevant evidence can only be determined by a Civil Court by a competent Civil Court and not by the writ Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Such private rights of the parties cannot be made a subject matter of writ jurisdiction. The writ petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India lie only against the State or instrumentality of the State as defined under Article 12 of the Constitution of India. Therefore, such determination of private civil rights of the parties cannot be made subject matter of writ petition."

In view of the aforesaid submission made, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the Writ Petition with liberty to the petitioner to institute suit invoking Section 31 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963, for necessary relief before the jurisdictional Civil Court. He has further informed that he would send a confirmatory e-mail to the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court to that effect, which shall be placed on record.

2. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn granting such liberty. It is also made clear that the period from the date of presentation of this Writ Petition (i.e., 27.04.2015) till the date on which certified copy of this order is made ready by the Registry, shall be excluded while computing the period of



limitation for the filing of the proposed suit. It is needless to clarify here that no view has been expressed by this Court on the correctness or entitlement of the claim made by the Petitioner in that regard. Consequently connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed. No costs.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AS)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ta

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate / litigant concerned.

To

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
O/oThe Inspector General of Registration,
100, Santome, Chennai-600 602.

2.The District Collector,
Madurai District, Madurai.

3.The District Registrar,
Madurai District, Madurai.

4.The Sub Registrar, Joint-IV,
Palanganatham Sub Registrar Office,
Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.SPL GP (SR-13088[F] dated
04/06/2020)

W.P. (MD) No.10678 of 2015
01.06.2020

AP(17/06/2020) 3P 6C