



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/12/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.ILANGOVAN

CRL OP(MD) No.16136 of 2020

Remisiyas @ Remigius

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Town North Police Station,
Dindigul District.
(In Crime No.2714/2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Karuna.V,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.Seenivasan,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.2714 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 448, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) of I.P.C., in Crime No.2714 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has given his mobile phone for service in the defacto complainant's shop and at that time, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioner said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and attacked the defacto complainant. Hence, the present complaint.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that no one has sustained injury.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to cell phone repairing, the occurrence said to have taken place and no one has sustained injured, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No. II, Dindigul, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

30/12/2020

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
DINDIGUL.
2. -DO- THROUGH: THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TOWN NORTH POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.16136 of 2020

Date :30/12/2020

MRN/CP

SRS/KV/SAR-IV/04.01.2021/3P/5C