



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/12/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.ILANGO VAN

CRL OP (MD) . No.16112 of 2020

S.Mariammal

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Muthaiahpuram Police Station,
Thoothukudi.
Crime No.446 of 2020

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sivakumar.M for
Mr.Manikandan. P,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.Seenivasan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.446 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as A2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.446 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioner said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also assaulted her with hands. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the crime has been registered against the petitioner.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to family dispute in the family of defacto complainant, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to family dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place. He further submitted that no one sustained injury.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to family dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place and no one sustained injury, this Court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or her appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.2, Thoothukudi, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

sd/-
30/12/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No.2, THOOTHUKUDI.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MUTHAIAHPURAM POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.16112 of 2020
Date :30/12/2020

VSG/STS
TE/PN/SAR-II : 04/01/2021 : 3P/5C