



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.12.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE **J.NISHA BANU**

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15587 of 2020

Karuppaiah

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State rep.by
The Superintendant of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Watrap Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

3.Ramaraj

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., to direct the first and second respondents more particularly the second respondent not to involve or interfere in the civil dispute persisting between the petitioner and the third respondent forthwith and also not to harass the petitioner in this regard.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Varatharajan
For R1 & R2 : Mr.V.Neelakandan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

This petition has been filed to direct the first and second respondents more particularly the second respondent not to involve or interfere in the civil dispute persisting between the petitioner and the third respondent forthwith and also not to harass the petitioner in this regard.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an agriculturist. The petitioner entered into a lease agreement with the third respondent in respect of the land of the third respondent for five years i.e., till 2024. All of a sudden, the third respondent started to disturb the possession of the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner filed a caveat petition before the learned Principal Sub Judge, Srivilliputhur vide C.O.P.No.152 of 2020. Thereafter, the third respondent gave a



petition to the second respondent against the petitioner. Based on that, the second respondent is interfering with the civil dispute. Hence, the petitioner has filed the present petition with the aforesaid prayer.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the first and second respondents, on instructions, would submit that it is a property dispute between the petitioner and the third respondent. The petitioner only preferred the complaint against the third respondent and based on that complaint, enquiry has been conducted and the petitioner has also appeared for enquiry and submitted that no further action is required in this matter, as it is a civil dispute between the parties, on 18.11.2020.

4. Recording the submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed as misconceived.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AE)

// True Copy //

/ /2021

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

Ta

NOTE: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To

1.The Superintendant of Police,
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Watrap Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s V.P.Rajan, Advocate, in SR.No.27324.

Crl.O.P. (MD)No.15587 of 2020
23.12.2020

(VR)CO

As per Order of the Court dated 23.12.2020
SP 5C