



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/12/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD). No.15342 of 2020

Siva @ Siva Raja

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Rayappanpatty Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No. 993/2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.Sathiyendran.N,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.KR.Bharathi Kannan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 993 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is arrayed as sole accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 448, 506(ii) I.P.C., on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner went to the defacto complainant's house and abused the entire family members by using filthy language and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the present complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that no one sustained injury. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that due to previous enmity, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant and his family members by using filthy language and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the crime has been registered.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that no one sustained injury, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a)the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b)the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c)the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d)the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e)on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f)if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

21/12/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, UTHAMAPALAYAM.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THENI DISTRICT.
3. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
RAYAPPANPATTY POLICE STATION,
THENI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK, Advocate (SR-8437[I] dated 22/12/2020)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.15342 of 2020

Date :21/12/2020

LS

TE/SMA/SAR-II : 29/12/2020 : 3P/6C