



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 21/12/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD). No.15330 of 2020

1. E.Maheswaran
2. P.Eswaran
3. E.Ramalatchumi
4. E.Sudhagar

... Petitioners/
Accused Rank not known

Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Under Crime No.Not known of 2020).

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: M/s.Laxman.K.R,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.AnanthaDevi,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Section 438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Crime No. Not known of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/ Accused apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 498-A, 294(b), 323, 324, 506 (ii) I.P.C and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, in Crime No.23 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioners said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked her and caused injuries. Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the crime has been registered against the petitioners.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to family dispute, the petitioners harassed the defacto complainant using filthy language and attacked her. He further submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to family dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place and injured person has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the **first and fourth petitioners** shall report before the respondent police **daily at 10.30 a.m** for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation and the **second and third petitioners** shall report before the respondent police **as and when required** for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

21/12/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
RAMANATHAPURAM.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM, RAMANATHAPURAM, DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.K.R.LAXMAN, Advocate (SR-8373[I] dated 21/12/2020)

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.15330 of 2020

Date :21/12/2020

LS

SRS/KV/SAR-II/28.12.2020/3P/6C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>