



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 18/12/2020

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD). No.15149 of 2020

S.Sakthikumar

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Pudukottai Police Station,
Thoothukudi District.
Crime No. 596 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Raja Mohamed.B.N,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mrs.M.Anandhadevi,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory bail in Crime No. 596 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the sole accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 354 and 507 of IPC and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, 1998 and Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000, in Crime No.596 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has published repetitive E-mails to the M.s.Trimed Technologies USA Limited owned by Tim Martin and Jann Martin, which is feeder company of M/s.Pearl Soft Private Limited, which was owned by the brother of the petitioner viz., Shankar as if there was a love affair between a girl employee viz., Indumathi of the company and the defacto complainant that are gossip in nature. Hence, the present complainant.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that all the allegations are false. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner has sent E-mails against the defacto complainant as if there was a love affair between a girl employee viz., Indumathi and the defacto complainant.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that there is no serious allegations against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or his appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the Judicial Magistrate Court No. III, Thoothukudi District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;



(f) if the accused/petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

sd/-
18/12/2020

/ /2021

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No.III, THOOTHUKUDI.
2. DO-THROUGH : THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PUDUKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+ 1 CC TO Mr.B.N.RAJA MOHAMED, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 8375

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.15149 of 2020
Date :18/12/2020

VSG
TE/KV/SAR-I : 06/01/2021 : 3P/6C