



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **24.07.2020**

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.SATHYANARAYANAN

and

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.RAJAMANICKAM

WEB COPY

W.A.(MD)No.364 of 2018 against W.P.(MD)No. 4256 of 2018
and

C.M.P.(MD)No.2244 of 2018
(Through Video Conferencing)

Karrupasamy Women Self Help Group
Rep. By it's President
M.Parimalarani.
Madurai District

... Appellant/Petitioner

-Vs-

The Madurai Corporation
Rep. By it's Commissioner
Aringhar Anna Maligai
Madurai - 625 002.

...Respondent/Respondent

Prayer: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent against the order passed in W.P.(MD)No.4256 of 2018, dated 06.03.2018.

Prayer in WP(MD). 4256/ 2018 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the impugned Notification for the public auction published in Dhina Thanthi on 22.02.2018 in Ma.Va.3/001240/18 dated 21.02.2018 on the file of the Respondent and set aside the same as illegal and consequently direct the Respondents to extend the license period for at least one year in respect of male toilets situated in Platform No.3 and 4 at M.G.R.Bus Stand, Madurai

For Appellant : Mr.T.Lajapathi Roy

For Respondent : Mr.Govindarajan
Standing Counsel.

JUDGMENT

[Judgment of the Court was made by **M.SATHYANARAYANAN, J.,**]

The learned counsel appearing for the appellant as well as the learned counsel appearing for the respondent would submit that this Writ Appeal has become infructuous. The learned counsel appearing



for the appellant also undertakes to send an e-mail, as to the said information to the Registry. Therefore, this Writ Appeal is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

vsm

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

W.A. (MD) No.364 of 2018
and C.M.P. (MD) No.2244 of 2018
24.07.2020

AP(03/08/2020) 2P 1C