



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 16/12/2020

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD). No.14714 of 2020

Ananthakumar

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
S.V.Mangalam Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
Crime No.190 of 2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Devakumar, Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.Kr.Bharathi Kannan,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :- For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.190 of 2020 on the file of the Respondent Police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who is the sole Accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.190 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioner said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also assaulted him with Aruval and caused grievous injuries. Hence, the present complaint.

3.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to previous enmity, the petitioner has been falsely



implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to previous enmity, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioner said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also assaulted him with Aruval and caused grievous injuries and he was admitted in the Government Hospital and thereafter, he has been discharged from the hospital. Thereafter, he suffered same illness as such, again he was admitted in the Government Hospital for taking treatment.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

sd/-
16/12/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
S.V.MANGALAM POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.
2. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1 CC to M/s.V.DEVAKUMAR, Advocate (SR-8330[I] dated 18/12/2020)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14714 of 2020
Date :16/12/2020