



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 14/12/2020

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP(MD) . No.14705 of 2020

1. Sundharammal
2. Anguvillas Alias Muthaiya
3. Panchavaranam
4. Suriya

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 4

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Taluk Police Station,
Dindigul District
Cr No. 1270/2020.

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Mr.M.Eswaran,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.R.Erottuchamy,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervener : Mr.S.Sarvagan Prabhu
Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C


PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 1270/2020 on the file of the respondent police

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as Accused Nos.1 to 4, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under sections 323, 427, 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.1270 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, in which, the petitioners were said to have abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language and also attacked him with iron rod and damaged the house hold articles worth about RS.12,000/- . Based on the complaint given by the defacto complainant, the crime has been registered against the petitioners.



3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that due to family enmity, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side), on instructions, submitted that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that due to family dispute, the occurrence said to have taken place and the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to jointly pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- directly to the defacto complainant. On such payment, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or their appearance, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.4, Madurai, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:


(a) the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(c) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the



learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]; and;

(f) if the accused/petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

/ TRUE COPY /

sd/-
14.12.2020

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

vsg

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.4, Madurai.
2. -Do- Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Madurai District.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Dindigul Taluk Police Station,
Dindigul District.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to Mr.M.ESWARAN, Advocate (SR-8140[I] dated 14/12/2020)

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.14705 of 2020
Date : 14/12/2020

VB RSK SAR 3(17.12.2020) 3P 6C