



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 07.01.2020

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU

Contempt Petition(MD)No.1114 of 2019

V.Geetha Ranjan

... Petitioner

vs.

S.Perumal,
The Commissioner,
Sattur Municipality,
Sattur,
Virudhunagar Municipality.

... Respondent

Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondent herein for his wilful and wanton disobedience of the orders passed by this Honble Court in W.P.(MD) No.13420 of 2009 dated 25.03.2019 under the Contempt of Courts Act.

Prayer in WP(MD). 13420/ 2009 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a Writ of mandamus, forbearing the 1st and 2nd respondents from allowing the 3rd respondent from putting up any construction in survey no. 34/6, sattur Town without a valid permission for building and also not to allow the 3rd respondent to encroach upon the petitioners possession and also consequently forbearing the 1st and 2nd respondents from allowing the 3rd respondent from any way disturbing the peaceful possession of the petitioner admeasuring 41.38 cents.


For Petitioner : Mr.Mohammed Athiff for
M/s.Veera Associates

For Respondent : Mr.M.Kannan

ORDER

Alleging non compliance of the order made in W.P.(MD)No.13420 of 2009 dated 25.03.2019, the present contempt petition has been filed.

2.The above writ petition was filed to issue a Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the 1st and 2nd respondents from allowing the 3rd respondent from putting up any construction in survey No.34/6, Sattur Town without a valid permission for building and also not to



allow the 3rd respondent to encroach upon the petitioner's possession and also consequently forbearing the 1st and 2nd respondents from allowing the 3rd respondent from any way disturbing the peaceful possession of the petitioner admeasuring 41.38 cents.

3.This Court by order dated 25.03.2019 directed the 1st respondent to inspect the building of the petitioner and if the petitioner has violated the planning permit or has constructed the same without planning permit, the 1st respondent was further directed to initiate appropriate legal action against the 3rd respondent within two weeks from the date of inspection.

4.Today, when the contempt petition was taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the respondent would state that as per the orders of this Court, the respondent has initiated action against the 3rd respondent by issuing notice dated 27.12.2019 calling upon the 3rd respondent to produce the planning permission for his building and would further state that the proceedings will be completed within four weeks.

Recording the said submission, the contempt petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

bala

To

S.Perumal,
The Commissioner,
Sattur Municipality,
Sattur,
Virudhunagar Municipality.

+1 CC to M/s.M.KANNAN, Advocate (SR-617[F] dated 07/01/2020)

+1 CC to M/s.VEERA ASSOCIATES, (SR-713[F] dated 08/01/2020

ORDER MADE IN
Cont.P(MD)No.1114 of 2019
DATED : 07.01.2020

JMN(10.01.2020) 2P : 4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>